

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/981,788	FURMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M Kinhead	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Arnold M Kinhead.

(2) Mr. Patrick D. McPherson(#46,255).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd Para issues and prior art rejection of record

Claims discussed:

1,6,10,14,18, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Carsello et al


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and applicant's representative resolved 112, second para issues; also, claims 18 and 19 were canceled due to the fact the they did not recite the delaying of the first signal an integer number of the predetermined intervals...as well as the new limitation for claim 19 regarding the waveform having a portion that repeats periodically...(this avoids the advisory action)..


ARNOLD KINKEAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER